



11th Annual Research Conference 2019 Differences in socio-emotional outcomes between children with a disability & from a migrant background and their typically developing peers

Garcia Iriarte¹, E., Swift¹, A., Curry¹, P., McConkey

R.², Gilligan¹, R., & Antunes¹, M.

¹ Trinity College Dublin

² University of Ulster

Funded by the Faculty of Arts, Humanities & Social Sciences Benefactions Fund & by the Trinity Immigration Initiative, Trinity College Dublin





An Roinn Leanaí agus Gnóthaí Óige Department of Children and Youth Affairs

Photos by Helena Lopes, Duy Pham, Priscilla Du Preez, Zachary Nelson on Unsplash



Literature review



- Socio-emotional competence is a key educational outcome¹
- Children with disability experience poorer socioemotional outcomes than their peers without disability²
- Migrant children are at increased risk of experiencing internalised problem behaviour such as depression or anxiety³

¹(Ashdown and Bernard, 2012; Becker and Luthar, 2002; Humphrey, 2013; Rose-Krasnor and Denham, 2009) ²(Davis & Watson, 2001; Lindsay, 2007) ³ (Belhadj Kouider et al., 2014)



Factors influencing socio-emotional outcomes

Individual	Contextual		
Disability	Socio-economic background	Migration	
 Learning disability & emotional behavioural difficulties More negative perception of themselves⁴ Lower well-being scores⁵ Language disorders⁶ E.g., study in the Netherlands found language disorders in 8 year old children were negatively associated with their attitude to school work, behaviour towards others & lower QOL 	 Families' economic vulnerability⁷ Prevalence of SEN is higher in in families of semi- skilled/unskilled workers & in inactive households⁸ Higher level of emotional- behavioural difficulties Disadvantaged school contexts⁸ is related to the identification of emotional behavioural difficulties 	 Increased risk of migrant children to have problem behaviour such as depression or anxiety⁹ Migration may act as a proxy⁹ for family functioning and parenting, lower socio- economic status, education level, language competency, cultural identity, gender, etc. 	

⁴(Smyth, 2015); ⁵(Cosgrove et al.'s, 2014); ⁶(Bakopoulou & Dockrell, 2016; Van Agt, Verhoeven, Van den Brink & De Koning, 2011); ⁷ (Parish & Cloud, 2006; Park, Turnbull, & Turnbull, 2002; Van der Mark, Conradie, Dedding, & Broerse, 2017; Watson et al., 2015); ⁸ (Banks et al., 2012); ⁹ (Belhadi Kouider et al., 2014)



Socio-emotional outcomes of immigrant children in Ireland



- Increased number of migrant children in European countries in the last decade¹⁰
- In Ireland¹¹:
 - No differences in mental health between 9 year old Irish and migrant children
 - Greater proportion of migrant children presented abnormal hyperactivity levels



- 1) Lack of studies examining differences in socio-emotional outcomes between children with disabilities and of migrant background and their typically developing peers. Research to date has focused on disability & migration separately, but not the intersection between the two;
- 2) Lack of Irish studies examining change of socio-emotional outcomes in children with disability over time.



- 1) Whether Irish children with disabilities who also have a migrant background, experience additional socio-emotional challenges than their typically developing peers and peers with disabilities with no migrant background over time;
- 2) Whether other socio-economic factors for which migration has been identified as a proxy influence the socio-emotional outcomes of Irish children with disabilities over time.



Methodology (1/3)

- **Participants:** children participating in GUI at W1 & W2 (n=7,525)
 - Analytical sample of 6,563 for the multivariate analyses
- Measures (overview):
 - Outcome measure:
 - Socio-emotional outcomes: 3-category variable derived from SDQ total scores, designed to reflect changes in total score as reported by the primary caregiver between W1 & W2
 - Predictors:
 - Impairment & activity limitation: a conservative approach, whereby only those children who had a specific, named impairment that persisted between waves were included
 - **Migrant background**: primary caregiver reported at W1 that they were born outside of either the UK or Ireland
 - **Other predictors:** primary caregiver education, primary caregiver report on the conflict sub-scale of the Pianta Child-Parent Relationship Scale, child's gender, household income.



Methodology (2/3)

Impairment & activity limitation:

- **Stage 1:** Children with a <u>persistent</u> & <u>named</u> impairment from W1 to W2:
 - Physical disability
 - Speech or language difficulty
 - General or specific learning disability
 - Autism spectrum disorder
 - Total 11.7% (n = 805)
 - (Children whose teacher *or* primary caregiver had identified a persistent emotional or behavioural difficulty were excluded, to avoid any possible confusion)
- **Stage 2:** Single 'impairment' group sub-divided into 2, giving a 3 category impairment variable:
 - Children with no impairment (88.3%, n=6,097)
 - Children with 'Impairment only' (4.6%, n=315)
 - Children with 'Impairment & activity limitation' (7.1%, n=490)



Methodology (3/3)

Socio-emotional outcomes:

- Overall, SDQ scores between waves show a mean change of -0.84 (SD 4.34), which is a small drop in total score, signifying slightly improved outcomes from waves 1 to 2
- However, our categorical variable for SDQ total focuses attention on children with most difficulties, & identifies children with similar patterns of stability & change between age 9 and 13 yrs
- Derived variable uses a threshold of 17, above which SDQ total scores deemed 'abnormal' (Goodman, 1997¹²)
- 3 categories devised:
 - Children with above-threshold scores at both waves (2.9%, n=214)
 - Children with below-threshold scores at both waves (89.5%, n=6,564)
 - Children whose above-threshold scores at one wave only (7.6%, n=557)



Results (1/3)

Socio-emotional outcomes between waves:

- Logistic regression to consider any possible associations between impairment and socio-emotional outcomes across waves, over and above known predictors e.g. conflict
- Children in the 'persistent difficulties' category were more likely to:
 - Have a primary caregiver with the lowest level of education (6.0 times odds)
 - Have higher levels of parent-child conflict at W1 (1.2 times odds, per Pianta scale point (max 60)
 - Have lower equivalised household income
 - Have an 'impairment only' (3.7 times odds)
 - Have an impairment AND activity limitation (15.6 times odds)
- Children with above-threshold scores at either wave, had 3.4 (impairment only) and 3.8 (impairment and activity limitation) greater odds of being in this group than their peers with no impairment at all.



Results (2/3)

• Results for 'migrant status':

- Factorial ANOVA analysis did not identify any interaction between having a disability and coming from a migrant background on SDQ total score at *either* W1 or W2
- While 'migrant status' was retained in all analyses, it was not statistically significant for socio-emotional outcomes between waves (logistic regression)



Probability of having persistently poor socio-emotional outcomes (SDQ total score >= 17) between age 9 & 13 years (sample weights applied)

(Reference category: SDQ total score <17 at both waves)		95% Cl for Odds Ratio			
	B (SE)	Lower	Odds Ratio	Upper	
Disability (ref: no impairment)					
Impairment & activity limitation	2.746 (.204)***	10.439	15.581	23.257	
Impairment only	1.321 (.335) ***	1.944	3.746	7.217	
Migrant status (ref: no migrant background)	495 (.536)	.213	.610	1.745	
Gender (ref: girls)	.218 (.186)	.864	1.243	1.789	
Primary caregiver education (ref: primary degree / postgraduate degree)					
Lower secondary or below	1.789 (.394)***	2.765	5.984	12.950	
Higher secondary or equivalent	.86 (.393)*	1.123	2.426	5.240	
Non degree	.842 (.442)	.976	2.321	5.517	
Pianta Child-Parent relationship scale (conflict)	.172 (.010) ***	1.166	1.188	1.211	
Transformed equivalised household income/100	891 (.438)*	.174	.410	.968	
*** p<.001, ** p<.01, * p<.05.					



- The findings resonate with previous studies:
 - On the significant relationship between disability and poor mental health¹³
 - No significant interaction between migration and disability and poor socio-emotional outcomes¹⁴
 - Socio-economic indicators significantly related to socio-emotional outcomes
 - (This study found 2 in particular: household income and primary caregivers' level of education)
- Limitations
 - Variable definitions

¹³Bakopoulou & Dockrell, 2016; Bryan, Burstein, & Ergul, 2004; Davis & Watson, 2001; Emmerson et al., 2019; Haft, Chen, LeBlanc, Tencza, & Hoeft, 2019; Lindsay, 2007; Van Agt, Verhoeven, Van den Brink & De Koning, 2011); ¹⁴ (Cotter et al., 2017)



- Recommendations for policy and practice
 - Educational and social services need to be aware of the higher likelihood of children and adolescents that may require mental health services in addition to other disability specific accommodations
 - This is even more important for children living in lower income households and whose parents have lower levels of education



Ashdown, D.M., Bernard, M.E. (2012). Can Explicit Instruction in Social and Emotional Learning Skills Benefit the Social-Emotional Development, Well-being, and Academic Achievement of Young Children? Early Childhood Education Journal. 39: 397

Bakopoulou, I. and Dockrell, J. (2016). The role of social cognition and prosocial behaviour in relation to the socio-emotional functioning of primary aged children with specific language impairment. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 49-50, pp.354-370.

Banks, J., Shevlin, M., & McCoy, S. (2012). Disproportionality in special education: identifying children with emotional behavioural difficulties in Irish primary schools. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 27(2): 219-235.

Becker, B., Luthar, S. (2002). Social-Emotional Factors Affecting Achievement Outcomes Among Disadvantaged Students: Closing the Achievement Gap. Educational Psychologist, 37(4), pp.197-214

Belhadj Kouider, E., Koglin, U., & Petermann, F. (2014). Emotional and behavioural problems in migrant children and adolescents in Europe: a systematic review. European Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 23, 373-391. DOI 10.1007/s00787-013-0485-8.

Bryan, T., Burstein, K. and Ergul, C. (2004). The Social-Emotional Side of Learning Disabilities: A Science-Based Presentation of the State of the Art. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 27(1), pp.45-51.



Cosgrove, J., McKeown, C., Travers, J., Lysaght, Z., Ní Bhroin, O., & Archer, P. (2014). Educational experiences and outcomes for children with special educational needs: A secondary analysis of data from the Growing Up in Ireland Study. Trim, Co. Meath: National Council for Special Education.

Cotter, S., Healy, C., Ni Cathain, D., Clarke, M., & Cannon, M. (2017). Mental health of young migrants in Ireland – an analysis of the Growing up in Ireland cohort study. Paper presented at the 9th Annual Growing Up in Ireland Research Conference. Retrieved on August 2019 from https://www.growingup.ie/pubs/F-Sorcha-Cotter-Mental-health-of-young-migrants-in-Ireland-GUI-conference-presentations-2017-131217.pdf

Davis, M., and N. Watson. (2001). Where are the children's experiences? Analysing social and cultural exclusion in 'special' and 'mainstream' schools. Journal of Disability and Society 16: 671–87

Emmerson, E., King, T., Llewellyn, G., Milner, A., Aitken, Z., Arciuli, J., & Kavanagh, A. (2019). Emotional difficulties and self-harm among British adolescents with and without disabilities: cross sectional study. Disability and Health Journal, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2019.04.007.



Goodman, R. (1997). The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A research note. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 38, 581-586

Haft, S., Chen, T., LeBlanc, C., Tencza, F. and Hoeft, F. (2019). Impact of mentoring on socio-emotional and mental health outcomes of youth with learning disabilities and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Child and Adolescent Mental Health

Humphrey, N. (2013). Social and emotional learning. London: SAGE Publications

Lindsay, G. (2007). Educational psychology and the effectiveness of inclusive education/ mainstreaming. British Journal of Educational Psychology 77: 1–24

Parish, S. and Cloud, J. (2006). Financial Well-Being of Young Children with Disabilities and Their Families. Social Work, 51(3), pp.223-232

Park, J., Turnbull, A. and Turnbull, H. (2002). Impacts of Poverty on Quality of Life in Families of Children with Disabilities. Exceptional Children, 68(2), pp.151-170

Rose-Krasnor, L., Denham, S. (2009). Social-emotional competence in early childhood. In K. H. Rubin, W. M. Bukowski, & B. Laursen (Eds.), Social, emotional, and personality development in context. Handbook of peer interactions, relationships, and groups (pp. 162-179). New York, NY, US: The Guilford Press



Smyth, E. (2016). Social relationships and the transition to secondary education. The Economic and Social Review, 47(4, Winter), 451-476

Van Agt, H. M. E., Verhoeven, L., Van den Brink, G. & De Koning, H. J. (2011). The impact on socio-emotional development and quality of life of language impairment in 8-year-old children. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology 53(1):81-8

Van der Mark, E., Conradie, I., Dedding, C. and Broerse, J. (2017). How Poverty Shapes Caring for a Disabled Child: A Narrative Literature Review. Journal of International Development, 29(8), pp.1187-1206

Watson, D., Whelan, CT, Maitre, B., & Williams, J. (2015). Family Economic Vulnerability and the Great Recession: an Analysis of the First Two Waves of the Growing Up in Ireland Study. Longitudinal and Life Course Studies, 6(3)